

Control of stem cell homeostasis via interlocking microRNA and microProtein feedback loops

Ronny Brandt ^a, Yakun Xie ^a, Thomas Musielak ^a, Moritz Graeff ^a, York-Dieter Stierhof ^a, Hai Huang ^b, Chun-Ming Liu ^c, Stephan Wenkel ^{a,*}

^a Center for Plant Molecular Biology (ZMBP), University of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

^b National Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 300 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, China

^c Center for Signal Transduction and Metabolomics, Key Laboratory of Molecular Physiology, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 7 July 2012

Keywords: Shoot apical meristem HD-ZIPIII transcription factors LITTLE ZIPPER AGO10 microRNAs microProteins

ABSTRACT

Stem cells in the shoot apex of plants produce cells required for the formation of new leaves. Adult leaves are composed of multiple tissue layers arranged along the dorsoventral (adaxial/abaxial) axis. Class III homeodomain leucine zipper (HD-ZIPIII) transcription factors play an important role in the set-up of leaf polarity in plants. Loss of HD-ZIPIII function results in strongly misshapen leaves and in severe cases fosters the consumption of the apical stem cells, thus causing a growth arrest in mutant plants. HD-ZIPIII mRNA is under tight control by microRNAs 165/166. In addition to the microRNA-action a second layer of regulation is established by LITTLE ZIPPER (ZPR)-type microProteins, which can interact with HD-ZIPIII proteins, forming attenuated protein complexes. Here we show that REVOLUTA (REV, a member of the HD-ZIPIII family) directly regulates the expression of ARGONAUTE10 (AGO10), ZPR1 and ZPR3. Because AGO10 was shown to dampen microR-NA165/6 function, REV establishes a positive feedback loop on its own activity. Since ZPR-type microProteins are known to reduce HD-ZIPIII protein activity, REV concomitantly establishes a negative feedback loop. We propose that the interconnection of these microR-NA/microProtein feedback loops regulates polarity set-up and stem cell activity in plants. © 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Development of eukaryotic organisms is governed by a precise control of transcription factor activities, steering differentiation processes required for tissue formation. By changing the transcriptional program, cells can change from a non-differentiated state to a highly specialized state. Stem cells are non-differentiated cells, which have the ability to adopt highly diverse cell fates. The shoot tip of plants harbors a population of stem cells, named the shoot apical meristem (SAM), which is essential for growth and development. Using forward and reverse genetic approaches, several factors involved in meristem organization and maintenance have been identified. The WUSCHEL (WUS) transcription factor plays a key role in shoot apical meristem maintenance (Mayer et al., 1998). WUS is expressed in a cell population underlying the SAM, named organizing center, and has recently been shown to act non-cell autonomously in the central zone of the SAM, where it induces expression of CLAVATA3, a negatively acting peptide ligand of the CLAVATA1 receptor kinase (Yadav et al., 2011). Besides the activities of transcriptional regulators, it was also shown that the tight balance of the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2012.06.007

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 7071 29 78852.

E-mail address: stephan.wenkel@zmbp.uni-tuebingen.de (S. Wenkel). 0925-4773/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

plant hormones cytokinine and auxin influences the stem cell niche (Zhao et al., 2010).

New organs are initiated at the flanks of the SAM, thereby influencing the self-perpetuating system of stem cells. The plant-specific CLASS III HOMEODOMAIN LEUCINE-ZIPPER (HD-ZIPIII) transcription factors are involved in both stem cell maintenance and polarity set-up processes in the embryo, shoot and root as well as in cell-fate choices of developing leaves (Carlsbecker et al., 2010; McConnell et al., 2001; Smith and Long, 2010). Expression of HD-ZIPIII mRNA is governed by microRNA165/166, restricting their pattern of expression to the shoot apical meristem and the adaxial domain of developing leaf primordia (Juarez et al., 2004; Mallory et al., 2004).

Post-transcriptional gene silencing by microRNAs requires the function of several other protein factors. Most notably, DI-CER-like proteins which act in the processing of longer precursor RNAs and ARGONAUTE (AGO) proteins which bind the mature microRNA and guide the riboprotein complex to their target mRNAs. AGOs are essential factors for microRNA (miR-NA) function in both plants and animals. Plant AGO proteins can be subdivided into five distinct clades based on their biochemical properties. AGO1 binds primarily microRNAs and directs either target cleavage or translational inhibition (Brodersen et al., 2008; Kidner and Martienssen, 2004; Vaucheret et al., 2004). AGO7 has been shown to bind miR390 and to regulate TAS RNAs which are further processed to trans-acting siRNAs and associate with AGO2/AGO3/AGO5, thus acting downstream of AGO7 (Montgomery et al., 2008). AGO4/AGO6/ AGO9 bind 24nt siRNAs and are involved in guiding small RNA-mediated DNA-methylation (Eun et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2010; Havecker et al., 2010; Rowley et al., 2011). AGO10 has a high substrate specificity and predominantly associates with miR165/6 and thereby acts as a microRNA locker, sequestering miR165/6 (Zhu et al., 2011). Mutant screens in plants have yielded loss-of-function alleles of several AGO genes. Mutations in AGO10/PINHEAD (PNH)/ZWILLE (ZLL) disturb the selfrenewal of the apical stem cells in the shoot tip, resulting in plants with arrested meristems (Lynn et al., 1999; Moussian et al., 1998). The observed phenotype of ago10/pnh/zll mutant plants is, inter alia, due to an increased expression of miR165/ 166, resulting in the down-regulation of its HD-ZIPIII target mRNAs (Liu et al., 2009). In flowers, the interplay of AGO1, AGO10/PNH/ZLL and miR172 and miR165/166 specifies temporal cell fates through the regulation of their APETALA2 and HD-ZIPIII targets (Ji et al., 2011). It was shown that in the central region of the shoot tip, AGO10/PNH/ZLL sequesters miR165/166 allowing HD-ZIPIIIs to be active, while in peripheral regions of the shoot, miR165/166 together with AGO1 depletes HD-ZIPIII expression (Zhu et al., 2011).

In addition to the control by microRNAs, a second layer of HD-ZIPIII regulation occurs at the post-translational level, via the formation of non-functional heterodimeric complexes. HD-ZIPIII proteins regulate the expression of *LITTLE ZIPPER* (*ZPR*) genes encoding microProteins, which are able to form non-functional HD-ZIPIII/ZPR protein complexes (Kim et al., 2008; Staudt and Wenkel, 2011; Wenkel et al., 2007). Overexpression of ZPR-type microProteins causes in weak overexpression lines a downward curling of the leaf blade, as seen in *hd-zipIII* mutant plants (Kim et al., 2008; Prigge et al., 2005; Wenkel et al., 2007). In strong ZPR-overexpression lines the

shoot apical meristem terminates with the production of one or two radialized leaves, strongly resembling *ago10/pnh/zll* mutant plants.

We have carried out a ChIP-Seq study to identify genes directly regulated by the HD-ZIPIII transcription factor REVOLU-TA (REV) (Brandt et al., 2012). This screen resulted, amongst others, in the identification of ZPR1 and AGO10, as putative direct targets of REV. Here we show that REV directly and positively regulates AGO10, ZPR1 and ZPR3 expression. Transgenic plants overexpressing ZPR3-type microProteins resemble an ago10 mutant plant, which is reflected in meristem arrest and radialization of vascular bundles in cotyledons. In addition, hd-zipIII loss-of-function mutant plants have lower levels of ZPR and AGO10 expression, indicative of positive regulation by HD-ZIPIIIs. Because AGO10 is able to capture microRNA165/6 and thereby protect HD-ZIPIIIs from microRNA-dependent degradation, REV establishes a direct positive feedback loop allowing HD-ZIPIII transcripts to accumulate. In addition, REV regulates expression of the LITTLE ZIPPER genes, establishing a direct negative feedback loop via microProtein-directed protein inhibition. We propose that HD-ZIPIII transcription factors can directly influence their activity state by controlling positive and negative feedback loops, which is important for the regulation of biological processes such as meristem maintenance or polarity set up in leaves. Uncoupling these feedback loops by mutation or in transgenic overexpression approaches strongly affects developmental processes regulated by HD-ZIPIIIs emphasizing the biological importance of these feedback loops.

2. Results

2.1. An inducible system to study REVOLUTA DNAbinding

We previously showed that transgenic plants constitutively expressing a microRNA-resistant form of the REVOLUTA transcription factor (REVd) fused to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), can be used to create developmental defects by inducing the translocation of the chimeric GR-REVd protein from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, by treating plants with Dexamethasone (DEX) (Wenkel et al., 2007). In transcriptome profiling experiments, using microarrays, we were able to identify the LITTLE ZIPPER genes being transcriptionally regulated REV (Wenkel et al., 2007). In order to being able to perform efficient chromatin-immunoprecipitations, to demonstrate binding of GR-REVd to the chromatin of potential target genes, we have constructed plants constitutively expressing the GR-REVd protein with an additional FLAG-epitope at the GR moiety. Induction of FLAG-GR-REVd by DEX results in the same developmental defects as observed for the GR-REVd inducible line (Fig. 1a). Using a ChIP-Seq approach, we were able to identify a number of direct REV target genes (Brandt et al., 2012).

2.2. Identification of AGO10 as a direct target gene of REV

Interestingly, the ARGONAUTE10/PINHEAD/ZWILLE gene (in the following referred to as AGO10) is among the list of putative target genes regulated by REV. To confirm binding of REV



Fig. 1 - REVOLUTA directly regulates AGO10 expression. (a) Induction of REVOLUTA causes adaxialization of leaves (Col and GR-REV +/-DEX). Plants were cultivated in long day conditions and after the production of the first true leaves sprayed daily with a 50 µM DEX solution or a mock substrate for 2 weeks. (b) REV binds to the AGO10 promoter. The gene model depicts the organization of the AGO10 locus. Protein coding exons are in black, UTRs in grey. Chromatinimmunoprecipitations, two biological replicates, were carried out with 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd plants either induced with DEX (red lines) or a mock substrate (blue lines). Four different genomic regions were tested (I-IV) by qPCR. Plotted is the fold enrichment normalized to the non-induced control IPs. (c) AGO10 expression can be regulated by REV. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR experiments showing expression changes of AGO10 in Col-0 (light brown) and 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd (dark brown) in response to DEX-induction. Plotted are average expression levels of three independent biological replicates normalized to actin of the ratio +DEX versus -DEX treatments, with standard error. Asterisk: p < 0.01.v Bars on the right show expression changes in plants pre-treated with Cycloheximide (CHX). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

to the chromatin of AGO10, we carried out independent chromatin-immunoprecipitations of transgenic 35S::FLAG-GR- REVd plants either treated with DEX or a mock substrate. Subsequent qPCR reactions confirmed our ChIP-Seq data, demonstrating that REV indeed interacts with the chromatin of AGO10 and binds to a region located in the 5'UTR (Fig. 1b). Because from binding to the chromatin, a positive or negative regulation cannot be inferred, we performed DEX-induction experiments with Col-0 wild type plants and transgenic 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd plants. Expression of AGO10 is significantly increased in induced 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd plants compared to wild type plants, revealing that REV is both a direct and positive upstream regulator of AGO10 expression (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, the induction also occurs in the presence of the protein biosynthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX), supporting the direct nature of this regulation (Fig. 1c). Taken together, we show that REV interacts with the chromatin of AGO10 and directly and positively influences AG010 expression.

2.3. REVOLUTA can directly regulate ZPR expression

We have previously shown that REV is able to induce expression of all four LITTLE ZIPPER genes (Wenkel et al., 2007). It remained unclear whether the regulation of the LIT-TLE ZIPPERs by REV is of direct or indirect nature. Our ChIP-Seq study revealed that REV is able to bind the chromatin of all ZPR genes. Here, we exemplary demonstrate that REV is able to bind to the chromatin of the ZPR3 gene (Fig. 2a). By using different primer pairs amplifying regions spanning the whole ZPR3 locus, we can show that a binding maximum exists in the first intron close to the translational start site (Fig. 2a). As mentioned before, all ZPR genes were shown to be regulated by REV (Wenkel et al., 2007). We tested whether positive regulation of ZPR gene expression is also possible in our newly constructed transgenic 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd plants. Upon DEX application, expression of ZPR1, ZPR3 and ZPR4 is strongly induced in 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd plants compared to the wild type control, while expression of ZPR2 is only moderately affected (Fig. 2b). Because it still remained unclear, whether regulation of the expression of the ZPR genes is of direct nature, we examined DEX-induced expression changes in conditions of inhibited protein biosynthesis, by pre-treating plants with cycloheximide (CHX). Even in conditions of inhibited protein biosynthesis (by CHX) REV is still able to significantly up-regulate ZPR1, ZPR3 and ZPR4 expression (Fig. 2b). It is important to note that the levels of ZPR induction is lower in plants pre-treated with CHX, suggesting that other factors might be required to induce ZPR expression to very high levels. Taken together, these findings confirm that REV is a direct and positive regulator of ZPR1, ZPR3 and ZPR4 expression.

The LITTLE ZIPPER proteins are plant specific microProteins that are able to interact with the much larger HD-ZIPIII proteins and trap these into non-functional complexes (Kim et al., 2008; Staudt and Wenkel, 2011; Wenkel et al., 2007). For ZPR3 it was shown, that the formation of ZPR3/REV heterodimers prevents REV from binding DNA (Wenkel et al., 2007). In summary, we show that REV can induce expression of all ZPR genes and the up-regulation of ZPR1, ZPR3 and ZPR4 seems to be of direct nature.



Fig. 2 - REVOLUTA directly regulates expression of LITTLE ZIPPER genes. (a) REV binds to the promoter of the LITTLE ZIPPER3 gene. Chromatin-immunoprecipitation experiments with two biological replicates for 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd without DEX (blue lines) and 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd with DEX (red lines) plants testing the ZPR3 locus. Genomic regions were tested with five primer pairs (I-V) by qPCR. Y-axis shows the fold enrichment normalized to the noninduced IPs. Gene maps above the charts show the location of the regions that were tested. Bar represents 0.25 kb. (b) Expression of all LITTLE ZIPPER genes is regulated by REV. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR experiments showing expression changes of ZPR1, ZPR2, ZPR3 and ZPR4 in response to DEX-induction. Plotted are fold changes in response to DEX in Col-0 (light brown) and the inducible 35S::GR-REVd transgenic line (dark brown) of the average of three independent biological replicates with standard error. Bars on the left show expression changes in the absence of the protein biosynthesis inhibitor cycloheximide, whereas bars on the right show expression changes in plants pretreated with cycloheximide (+CHX). Asterisk: p < 0.01. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

2.4. ago10 and hd-zipIII mutant plants share phenotypic similarities

AGO10 is required for proper organization of the shoot apical meristem. In plants harboring loss-of-function alleles of AGO10, stem cells in the shoot apex cannot be maintained, resulting in consumption of the apical stem cells (Lynn et al., 1999; Moussian et al., 1998). In *ago*10 mutant plants, the meristem often terminates before the production of leaves, but occasionally one or two strongly radialized leaves or one terminal leaf are produced (Lynn et al., 1999; Moussian et al., 1998). The shoot meristem defect of *ago*10 mutant plants is reminiscent of strong ZPR3-overexpression lines. When compared side-by-side, no difference between 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 and *ago*10 plants can be observed (Fig. 3a and b). The same is true for high overexpression of *microR*-NA165, which also causes consumption of the apical stem cells (Zhou et al., 2007).

2.5. Polarity defects of vasculature observed in hd-zipIII and ago10 mutant plants

Adaxialized leaves exhibit a strong downward curling of the leaf blade and vascular strands show polarity defects manifested in phloem tissue surrounding the xylem strands. The vasculature of wild type plants shows a typical sandwichlike structure composed of phloem at the bottom, cambium cells in the middle and xylem tissue on top. When compared side-by-side, both 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 transgenic plants and *ago10* mutant plants show radialized vascular strands with abaxialized characteristics (Fig. 3c). The phenotype of the *ago10* mutation is more severe and the vascular strands have no obvious organization. Overexpression of microRNA165 has been shown to also cause severe developmental defects and radialization of transport elements (Zhou et al., 2007).

2.6. Expression of AGO10 and LITTLE ZIPPER genes are altered in hd-zipIII mutant seedlings

We have shown that both AGO10 and ZPR3 are direct and positive targets of the REVOLUTA transcription factor. To further corroborate the finding that AGO10, ZPR1 and ZPR3 are bona fide REV target genes, we have analyzed their expression levels in different hd-zipIII mutant plants (Fig. 4). AGO10 expression is significantly lower in both rev-5 and rev-6 mutant plants compared to wild type control plants, indicating that AGO10 expression is mainly regulated by REV (Fig. 4). An even stronger reduction of AGO10 mRNA levels was observed in transgenic plants expressing 35S::FLAG-ZPR3, which points towards a redundant regulation by other HD-ZIPIII proteins. No reduction in expression was observed in transgenic plants overexpressing miR165a (35S::miR165a). It is important to note that the transgenic line overexpressing microRNA165a (Kim et al., 2010) shows only moderate developmental defects and also HD-ZIPIII levels are only somewhat lower. We therefore also investigated the levels of expression in plants carrying mutations in more HD-ZIPIII genes. Here we find that the expression of AGO10 is slightly higher in plants carrying mutations in PHB and PHV and are heterozygote for REV



Fig. 3 – Mutations in hd-zipIII and ago10 cause severe phenotypic defects. (a) Comparative growth analysis of hd-zipIII and ago10 mutant plants with corresponding wild type plants. Both 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 and ago10 (zll-2) mutant plants show termination of the shoot apical meristem (arrow shows the terminated shoot apical meristems). (b) Scanning electron micrographs of apices from seedlings shown in a. Both ago10 and 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 plants have terminated meristems and only produce one radial leaf compared to the wild type shoot apex (here: Ler). (c) Sections through petioles of Col-0, 35S::FLAG-ZPR3, Ler, ago10 (zll-2). The vasculature of wild type Col-0 and Ler plants show the typical sandwich structure: tissue containing phloem cells (green) at the bottom, cambium cells (red) in the middle and tissue containing xylem elements (blue) on top. 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 transgenic plants show abaxialized vascular strands with phloem nearly surrounding the xylem whereas the structure of ago10 vascular is completely disorganized with abaxialized features. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

(phb phv rev/+). In the phb phv rev triple mutant, AGO10 expression is not detectable, which is most likely due to the complete loss of the apical meristem, as these seedlings develop pin-like and arrest early in development.

Endogenous ZPR1 and ZPR3 expression levels are reduced in transgenic plants ectopically mis-expressing ZPR3 (35S::FLAG-ZPR3), indicating that in these plants HD-ZIPIII activity is more strongly depleted. Expression levels of ZPR1 and ZPR3 are strongly affected in *rev-6*, *phb phv rev/+* and *phb phv rev* triple mutant plants indicating that REV is a major regulator of both ZPR1 and ZPR3 expression. Taken together, we can conclude that AGO10, ZPR1 and ZPR3 are *bona fide* REV-OLUTA target genes because induction of REV causes an increase in expression and more importantly, their expression is lower in plants having either decreased levels of HD-ZIPIII mRNA or reduced HD-ZIPIII activity.

3. Discussion

3.1. AGO10 and ZPR3 are a bona fide REVOLUTA target genes

We find that AGO10, ZPR1 and ZPR3 expression are both positively and directly regulated by REVOLUTA. In transgenic plants expressing 35S::FLAG-GR-REVd, expression of AGO10 and all ZPR genes can be induced by the application of DEX. The induction of expression also takes place in plants pretreated with cycloheximide, indicating that the transcriptional regulation is of direct nature (Figs. 1 and 2). It is important to note, that levels ZPR up-regulation is reduced in cycloheximide pre-treated plants (Fig. 2), suggesting that either REV requires other proteins for the up-regulation of these targets or that REV is modified at the post-translational level allowing high level of up-regulation. Using chromatinimmunoprecipitations, we show that REV interacts with the chromatin of both ZPR3 and AGO10 further supporting a direct role in the control of gene expression (Figs. 1 and 2). Finally, we see a reduction of both AGO10 and ZPR3 in transgenic plants overexpressing the ZPR3 microProtein implying that both genes are bona fide direct targets of REV (Fig. 4). Because AGO10 expression is significantly lower in rev-5 mutant plants (Fig. 4), we can assume that REV is a major regulator of AGO10 expression. In plants carrying the rev-6 mutant allele, AGO10 mRNA is slightly reduced while phb phv rev/+ plants show a slight increase of AGO10 expression. These increased AGO10 levels might reflect the partially antagonistic nature of HD-ZIPIII function (Prigge et al., 2005). When three HD-ZIPIII genes are mutated (as in phb phv rev triple mutant plants), these seedlings develop pin-formed and arrest soon after germination. Expression of AGO10 is not detectable in these mutant



Fig. 4 – AGO10, ZPR1 and ZPR3 expression are altered in hdzipIII mutant plants. Expression of AGO10 and ZPR3 was analyzed in mutants with either compromised HD-ZIPIII expression (rev-5, rev-6, phb phv rev/+, phb phv rev and 35S::miR165a) or inhibited HD-ZIPIII protein activity (35S::FLAG-ZPR3). Plotted are expression levels relative to wild type including standard errors of the mean of three individual biological experiments. Asterisk: p < 0.05.

seedlings, for which the missing shoot apical meristem might be causal. No down-regulation of AGO10, ZPR1 or ZPR3 expression was observed in transgenic plants overexpressing *m*iR165*a* (Fig. 4), which is most likely due to weak overexpression phenotype of this particular line.

3.2. Transgenic plants overexpressing ZPR-type micro-Proteins resemble ago10 mutant plants

Transgenic plants overexpressing the ZPR3-type microProtein show, in weak overexpression plants, leaf polarity defects while strong overexpression plants exhibit a meristem arrest phenotype. Conversely, plants in which both ZPR3 and ZPR4 are mutated show an enlarged and severely disorganized shoot apical meristem (Kim et al., 2008). By growing 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 and ago10 mutant plants side-by-side, we show that both mutant phenotypes strongly resemble each other. It is interesting to note, that the strong ago10 mutant phenotype is only visible in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) ecotype, while in Col-0 AGO10 appears to be expendable. Furthermore, ago10 mutant plants have the ability to induce adventitious shoot meristems later in development and progress to the reproductive phase, while 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 plants with terminated meristems will senesce and do not reproduce. This indicates, that repressing HD-ZIPIII protein function by micro-Proteins is, most likely, more potent than reducing HD-ZIPIII mRNA levels by overexpressing microRNAs.

3.3. REVOLUTA controls HD-ZIPIII expression and protein activity via positive and negative feedback loops

Using a chromatin-immunoprecipitation/high throughput sequencing approach, we have identified AGO10 as a direct target of REV. Expression analysis revealed that REV can also upregulate AGO10 expression while in hd-zipIII mutant plants AGO10 expression is lower compared to wild type plants. AGO10 can tightly interact with microRNAs miR165/6, which are known to target HD-ZIPIIIs (Zhu et al., 2011). Because AGO10 keeps miR165/6 in an inactive state, HD-ZIPIII mRNA levels can increase and may thus potentiate this positive feedback regulation (Fig. 5). When AGO10 activity is lost by mutation (in the Ler background) the shoot meristem is severely compromised and the apical stem cell population is lost. This phenotype might be due to a strong down-regulation of HD-ZIPIII mRNAs, most likely by miR165/6 and AGO1. In addition to AGO10, REV also directly up-regulates the expression of genes encoding the ZPR-type microProteins. In contrast to AGO10, ZPR-type microProteins establish a negative feedback loop by sequestering HD-ZIPIII proteins into non-functional heterodimeric complexes (Fig. 5). In case of ZPR-overexpression shoot defects similar to the ago10 mutation are observed, indicating that HD-ZIPIII activity is required for the maintenance of the apical stem cells in plants.

Thus, REV directly establishes two different feedback mechanisms channeling back on its own activity. Positive regulation is established via microRNA inhibition and negative regulation via microProtein action. Further characterization of the interconnection of these feedback loops in the wild type plant will yield a better understanding on the role of HD-ZIPIII proteins in both stem cell maintenance and in development in general.

4. Experimental procedures

4.1. Plant material and phenotypic analysis

For efficient chromatin-immunoprecipitations, we have created transgenic 35S::FLAG-GR-REV*d* plants. The glucocorticoid receptor was cloned in frame to the FLAG epitope in the *pJAN33* vector (Weigel et al., 2003) using the KpnI restriction site, in the following termed *pJAN33GR*. Different mutant and



Fig. 5 – Interlocking positive and negative feedback-loops regulate stem-cell homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. Model for the feedback loops established by AGO10 and ZPR3. Active homodimeric HD-ZIPIII proteins regulate developmental processes such as leaf polarity and stem cell maintenance. The positive feedback loop is established by up-regulation of AGO10 gene expression. The AGO10 protein can capture microRNAs 165/6 allowing HD-ZIPIII transcripts to accumulate. In case of ZPR-induction, HD-ZIPIII protein function is attenuated because the protein complex consisting of REV and ZPR can no longer bind DNA.

transgenic plants were used to analyze plants with reduced or depleted REV activity: the 35S-miR165*a* seeds were kindly provided by Sang-Bong Choi (Myongji University, South Korea); *rev-5* (A260 V) a strong EMS allele (Otsuga et al., 2001) and 35S::FLAG-ZPR3 plants (this line was generated by SW in Kathryn Barton's laboratory). *rev-6*, *phb phv rev/+* and *phb phv rev* were described previously (Prigge et al., 2005). The zll-2 EMS mutant was previously characterized by Moussian et al. (1998).

4.2. Histology and SEM microscopy

Petioles of 3-week-old plants were prefixed with 90% ice cold acetone for 2 h following transfer into fixative (50 mM NaPh pH 7.2; 1% glutaraldehyde; 4% formaldehyde) for 2 days. Afterwards, the petioles were dehydrated in an ethanol series (30%/50%/70% each for 2 h) and finally stored in 100% ethanol prior embedding in Technovit (Heraeus). Two-micron sections were cut using a Leica microtome. Sections were stained with toluidine blue.

Scanning electron microscopy was done on 10-day old seedlings. Plants were dissected, fixed in methanol, washed with ethanol twice, critical point dried and mounted. After gold/palladium coating, plants were examined on a Hitachi S800 electron microscope.

4.3. Gene expression analysis

For gene expression analysis and chromatin-immunoprecipitation experiment, plants (Col-0; pJAN33-GR-REVd) were grown for 10 days in liquid culture medium [MS (4.3 g/l; Duchefa), MES (0.3 g/l; Duchefa) and Sucrose (5 g/l; Roth), pH 5.7] in continuous white light at 22 °C. To induce the translocation of the chimeric GR-REVd protein from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, plants were treated with either 50 μ M dexamethasone (Sigma) or a mock solution for 60 min for gene expression analysis and for 45 min for chromatin-immunoprecipitation experiments. Altered gene expression in Col-0, rev5, pJAN33 ZPR3, 35S-miR165a, rev-6, phb phv rev/+ and phb phv rev was analyzed in 14 days old seedlings grown on soil under longday condition (16 h white light, 8 h darkness) at 22 °C. Expression of rev-6, phb phv rev/+ and phb phv rev was quantified relative to the corresponding wild type (here Col er-2). RNA was isolated using GeneMATRIX universal RNA purification kit [roboklon] following manufacturer's recommendation. 1 µg of purified RNA was used for reverse transcription using Fermentas Revert Aid Reverse Transcriptase with oligo-dT primers. Real-time quantitative PCRs were carried out using the Fermentas SYBR Green qPCR master mix on a Biorad CFX384. Gene expression levels were calculated using the delta-Ct method and a standard curve relative to actin. To detect endogenous levels of ZPR3 expression in plants ectopically overexpressing the ZPR3 coding sequence (pJAN33-ZPR3) we use a forward primer spanning the first intron and amplifying a part of the non-translated exon 1.

4.4. Chromatin-immunoprecipitation

Chromatin-immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out as described by Kwon et al. (2005), except that anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma) were used and immunoprecipitations were only performed for 2 h.

4.5. Oligonucleotides

(a) Gene expression analysis

qAGO10f:ATCACGAGAACGGGAAAGAA; qAGO10r:CATGCC TGAGACTTCACACA; qZPR1f:CGTGGAGAATCAAAACATCA; qZPR1r:CCTTGCTTGTAAAACCCAAA; qZPR2f:CTCACCAG-CAGGAGGAGAAG; qZPR2r:CAGGGGAGTATTTTGGGTGA; qZPR3f:CACTCCTTCCCAAAAGCAAG; qZPR3r:TGTCCAG AAGCAGAGCTTGA; qZPR4f:GGAGAACGAGAGGTTGAGGA; qZPR4r:CCAGAAGCAGAGCTTGATGA

(b) ChIP-PCR

PNH-I-F:TTGCTGCCATAAACCAAACA; PNH-I-R:CAGGCTCT CAGCCTCATCTC; PNH-II-F:GCCAAGGAAGGGATCAGTTT; PNH-II-R:TGGTTTTTGGATTGTGGTGC; PNH-III-F:CGGTAT CATCAATGGCCCTA; PNH-III-R:GACAATCTGCCCGTTTAC CA; PNH-IV-F/R (qAGO10f/r); ZPR3-I-F:GGGCAAACGAACG AGTTTTA; ZPR3-I-R:GTTTGGACTTTGGAGCCGTA; ZPR3-II-F:CGATGAAGAGCCAAAGGAAG; ZPR3-II-R:GCCGCAAGAA GAGAGAGAGA; ZPR3-III-F:CAACACTCCTTCCCAAAAGG; ZPR3-III-R:GGGTTTGTCTTCACGTTAGTTG; ZPR3-IV-F:AAT-CATGTTCTTCTTCTCTCTTTGA; ZPR3-IV-R:ATCACACAT GGGTTGTGCAG; ZPR3-V-F:TCGGAGATGGTGGGAATCTA; ZPR3-V-R:GCCCGAAACTTGCTTCCTA

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of the S.W. laboratory for comments on the manuscript and Gesine Seibold and Ingrid Blumberg for excellent technical support. We are grateful to Sang-Bong Choi and Hyung-Sae Kim for providing 35S::miR165a seeds and to Kathy Barton in whose laboratory the work on the LITTLE ZIPPERs was started. Work in the SW laboratory is supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, WE4281/6-1) and the European Union (FP7 No. 256502) to S.W. and the Robert Bosch Foundation and the Ministry for Agriculture (Bundesanstalt für Landwirtschaft und Ernährung; CHN13-22/12-13) to S.W. and C.M.L.

REFERENCES

- Brandt, R., Salla-Martret, M., Bou-Torrent, J., Musielak, T., Stahl,
 M., Lanz, C., Ott, F., Schmid, M., Greb, T., Schwarz, M., Choi, S.B., Kathryn Barton, M., Reinhart, B.J., Liu, T., Quint, M.,
 Palauqui, J.-C., Martínez-García, J.F. and Wenkel, S., 2012.
 Genome-wide binding-site analysis of REVOLUTA reveals a link between leaf patterning and light-mediated growth responses. The Plant Journal, in press.
- Brodersen, P., Sakvarelidze-Achard, L., Bruun-Rasmussen, M., Dunoyer, P., Yamamoto, Y.Y., Sieburth, L., Voinnet, O., 2008. Widespread translational inhibition by plant miRNAs and siRNAs. Science 320, 1185–1190.
- Carlsbecker, A., Lee, J.Y., Roberts, C.J., Dettmer, J., Lehesranta, S., Zhou, J., Lindgren, O., Moreno-Risueno, M.A., Vaten, A., Thitamadee, S., Campilho, A., Sebastian, J., Bowman, J.L., Helariutta, Y., Benfey, P.N., 2010. Cell signalling by microRNA165/6 directs gene dose-dependent root cell fate. Nature 465, 316–321.
- Eun, C., Lorkovic, Z.J., Naumann, U., Long, Q., Havecker, E.R., Simon, S.A., Meyers, B.C., Matzke, A.J.M., Matzke, M., 2011. AGO6 functions in RNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing in shoot and root meristems in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS One 6, e25730.
- Gao, Z., Liu, H.-L., Daxinger, L., Pontes, O., He, X., Qian, W., Lin, H., Xie, M., Lorkovic, Z.J., Zhang, S., Miki, D., Zhan, X., Pontier, D., Lagrange, T., Jin, H., Matzke, A.J.M., Matzke, M., Pikaard, C.S., Zhu, J.-K., 2010. An RNA polymerase II- and AGO4-associated protein acts in RNA-directed DNA methylation. Nature 465, 106–109.
- Havecker, E.R., Wallbridge, L.M., Hardcastle, T.J., Bush, M.S., Kelly, K.A., Dunn, R.M., Schwach, F., Doonan, J.H., Baulcombe, D.C., 2010. The Arabidopsis RNA-directed DNA methylation argonautes functionally diverge based on their expression and interaction with target loci. The Plant Cell Online 22, 321–334.
- Ji, L., Liu, X., Yan, J., Wang, W., Yumul, R.E., Kim, Y.J., Dinh, T.T., Liu, J., Cui, X., Zheng, B., Agarwal, M., Liu, C., Cao, X., Tang, G., Chen, X., 2011. ARGONAUTE10 and ARGONAUTE1 regulate the termination of floral stem cells through two microRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Genetics 7, e1001358.
- Juarez, M.T., Kui, J.S., Thomas, J., Heller, B.A., Timmermans, M.C.P., 2004. MicroRNA-mediated repression of rolled leaf1 specifies maize leaf polarity. Nature 428, 84–88.
- Kidner, C.A., Martienssen, R.A., 2004. Spatially restricted microRNA directs leaf polarity through ARGONAUTE1. Nature 428, 81–84.
- Kim, H.-S., Kim, S.J., Abbasi, N., Bressan, R.A., Yun, D.-J., Yoo, S.-D., Kwon, S.-Y., Choi, S.-B., 2010. The DOF transcription factor Dof5.1 influences leaf axial patterning by promoting Revoluta transcription in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal 64, 524–535.
- Kim, Y.S., Kim, S.G., Lee, M., Lee, I., Park, H.Y., Seo, P.J., Jung, J.H., Kwon, E.J., Suh, S.W., Paek, K.H., Park, C.M., 2008. HD-ZIP III activity is modulated by competitive inhibitors via a feedback

loop in Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem development. The Plant Cell 20, 920–933.

- Kwon, C.S., Chen, C., Wagner, D., 2005. WUSCHEL is a primary target for transcriptional regulation by SPLAYED in dynamic control of stem cell fate in Arabidopsis. Genes & Development 19, 992–1003.
- Liu, Q., Yao, X., Pi, L., Wang, H., Cui, X., Huang, H., 2009. The ARGONAUTE10 gene modulates shoot apical meristem maintenance and establishment of leaf polarity by repressing miR165/166 in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal 58, 27–40.
- Lynn, K., Fernandez, A., Aida, M., Sedbrook, J., Tasaka, M., Masson, P., Barton, M.K., 1999. The PINHEAD/ZWILLE gene acts pleiotropically in *Arabidops* development and has overlapping functions with the ARGONAUTE1 gene. Development 126, 469–481.
- Mallory, A.C., Reinhart, B.J., Jones-Rhoades, M.W., Tang, G., Zamore, P.D., Barton, M.K., Bartel, D.P., 2004. MicroRNA control of PHABULOSA in leaf development: importance of pairing to the microRNA 5[prime] region. EMBO Journal 23, 3356–3364.
- Mayer, K.F.X., Schoof, H., Haecker, A., Lenhard, M., Jürgens, G., Laux, T., 1998. Role of WUSCHEL in regulating stem cell fate in the *Arabidopsis* shoot meristem. Cell 95, 805–815.
- McConnell, J.R., Emery, J., Eshed, Y., Bao, N., Bowman, J., Barton, M.K., 2001. Role of PHABULOSA and PHAVOLUTA in determining radial patterning in shoots. Nature 411, 709–713.
- Montgomery, T.A., Howell, M.D., Cuperus, J.T., Li, D., Hansen, J.E., Alexander, A.L., Chapman, E.J., Fahlgren, N., Allen, E., Carrington, J.C., 2008. Specificity of ARGONAUTE7-miR390 interaction and dual functionality in TAS3 trans-acting siRNA formation. Cell 133, 128–141.
- Moussian, B., Schoof, H., Haecker, A., Jurgens, G., Laux, T., 1998. Role of the ZWILLE gene in the regulation of central shoot meristem cell fate during *Arabidopsis* embryogenesis. EMBO Journal 17, 1799–1809.
- Otsuga, D., DeGuzman, B., Prigge, M.J., Drews, G.N., Clark, S.E., 2001. REVOLUTA regulates meristem initiation at lateral positions. The Plant Journal 25, 223–236.
- Prigge, M.J., Otsuga, D., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Drews, G.N., Clark, S.E., 2005. Class III homeodomain-leucine zipper gene family members have overlapping, antagonistic, and distinct roles in *Arabidopsis* development. The Plant Cell 17, 61–76.
- Rowley, M.J., Avrutsky, M.I., Sifuentes, C.J., Pereira, L., Wierzbicki, A.T., 2011. Independent chromatin binding of ARGONAUTE4 and SPT5L/KTF1 mediates transcriptional gene silencing. PLoS Genetics 7, e1002120.
- Smith, Z.R., Long, J.A., 2010. Control of Arabidopsis apical-basal embryo polarity by antagonistic transcription factors. Nature 464, U121–U423.
- Staudt, A.-C., Wenkel, S., 2011. Regulation of protein function by microProteins. EMBO Reports 12, 35–42.
- Vaucheret, H., Vazquez, F., Crete, P., Bartel, D.P., 2004. The action of ARGONAUTE1 in the miRNA pathway and its regulation by the miRNA pathway are crucial for plant development. Genes & Development 18, 1187–1197.
- Weigel, M., Varotto, C., Pesaresi, P., Finazzi, G., Rappaport, F., Salamini, F., Leister, D., 2003. Plastocyanin is indispensable for photosynthetic electron flow in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 278, 31286–31289.
- Wenkel, S., Emery, J., Hou, B.H., Evans, M.M.S., Barton, M.K., 2007. A feedback regulatory module formed by LITTLE ZIPPER and HD-ZIPIII genes. Plant Cell 19, 3379–3390.
- Yadav, R.K., Perales, M., Gruel, J., Girke, T., Joensson, H., Reddy, G.V., 2011. WUSCHEL protein movement mediates stem cell homeostasis in the *Arabidopsis* shoot apex. Genes & Development 25, 2025–2030.
- Zhao, Z., Andersen, S.U., Ljung, K., Dolezal, K., Miotk, A., Schultheiss, S.J., Lohmann, J.U., 2010. Hormonal control of the shoot stem-cell niche. Nature 465, 1089–1092.

- Zhou, G.K., Kubo, M., Zhong, R.Q., Demura, T., Ye, Z.H., 2007. Overexpression of miR165 affects apical meristem formation, organ polarity establishment and vascular development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant and Cell Physiology 48, 391–404.
- Zhu, H., Hu, F., Wang, R., Zhou, X., Sze, S.-H., Liou, Lisa W., Barefoot, A., Dickman, M., Zhang, X., 2011. *Arabidopsis* argonaute10 specifically sequesters miR166/165 to regulate shoot apical meristem development. Cell 145, 242–256.